

**WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD**  
**STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**JOSE ARELLANO, *Applicant***

**vs.**

**CLOSETS BY DESIGN/HOME ORGANIZERS;  
REDWOOD FIRE AND CASUALTY COMPANY, administered by BERKSHIRE  
HATHAWAY HOMESTATE COMPANIES, *Defendants***

**Adjudication Number: ADJ14247731  
Anaheim District Office**

**OPINION AND ORDER  
DISMISSING PETITION FOR  
RECONSIDERATION**

We have considered the allegations of the Petition for Reconsideration and the contents of the Report of the workers' compensation administrative law judge (WCJ) with respect thereto. Based on our review of the record, the petition is untimely and will be dismissed.

**I.**

Former Labor Code<sup>1</sup> section 5909 provided that a petition for reconsideration was deemed denied unless the Appeals Board acted on the petition within 60 days from the date of filing. (Lab. Code, § 5909.) Effective July 2, 2024, Labor Code section 5909 was amended to state in relevant part that:

- (a) A petition for reconsideration is deemed to have been denied by the appeals board unless it is acted upon within 60 days from the date a trial judge transmits a case to the appeals board.
- (b)
  - (1) When a trial judge transmits a case to the appeals board, the trial judge shall provide notice to the parties of the case and the appeals board.
  - (2) For purposes of paragraph (1), service of the accompanying report, pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 5900, shall constitute providing notice.

---

<sup>1</sup> All further statutory references are to the Labor Code, unless otherwise noted.

Under section 5909(a), the Appeals Board must act on a petition for reconsideration within 60 days of transmission of the case to the Appeals Board. Transmission is reflected in Events in the Electronic Adjudication Management System (EAMS). Specifically, in Case Events, under Event Description is the phrase “Sent to Recon” and under Additional Information is the phrase “The case is sent to the Recon board.”

Here, according to Events, the case was transmitted to the Appeals Board on December 3, 2025, and 60 days from the date of transmission is Sunday, February 1, 2026. The next business day that is 60 days from the date of transmission is Monday, February 2, 2026. (See Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 10600(b).)<sup>2</sup> This decision is issued by or on Monday, February 2, 2026, so that we have timely acted on the petition as required by section 5909(a).

Section 5909(b)(1) requires that the parties and the Appeals Board be provided with notice of transmission of the case. Transmission of the case to the Appeals Board in EAMS provides notice to the Appeals Board. Thus, the requirement in subdivision (1) ensures that the parties are notified of the accurate date for the commencement of the 60-day period for the Appeals Board to act on a petition. Section 5909(b)(2) provides that service of the Report and Recommendation shall be notice of transmission.

Here, according to the proof of service for the Report and Recommendation by the workers’ compensation administrative law judge, the Report was served on December 3, 2025, and the case was transmitted to the Appeals Board on December 3, 2025. Service of the Report and transmission of the case to the Appeals Board occurred on the same day. Thus, we conclude that the parties were provided with the notice of transmission required by section 5909(b)(1) because service of the Report in compliance with section 5909(b)(2) provided them with actual notice as to the commencement of the 60-day period on December 3, 2025.

## II.

There are 25 days allowed within which to file a petition for reconsideration from a “final” decision that has been served by mail upon an address in California. (Lab. Code, §§ 5900(a), 5903; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 10605(a)(1).) This time limit is extended to the next business day if the

---

<sup>2</sup> WCAB Rule 10600(b) (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 10600(b)) states that:

Unless otherwise provided by law, if the last day for exercising or performing any right or duty to act or respond falls on a weekend, or on a holiday for which the offices of the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board are closed, the act or response may be performed or exercised upon the next business day.

last day for filing falls on a weekend or holiday. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 10600.) To be timely, however, a petition for reconsideration must be filed with (i.e., received by) the WCAB within the time allowed; proof that the petition was mailed (posted) within that period is insufficient. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, §§ 10940(a), 10615(b).) In addition, a Petition for Reconsideration filed via EAMS<sup>3</sup> is deemed filed on the date received by the Appeals Board if it is received prior to 5:00 p.m. on a court day. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 10615(b).) Any Petition for Reconsideration “received after 5:00 p.m. of a court day shall be deemed filed as of the next court day.” (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 10615(b).)

This time limit is jurisdictional and, therefore, the Appeals Board has no authority to consider or act upon an untimely petition for reconsideration. (*Maranian v. Workers’ Comp. Appeals Bd.* (2000) 81 Cal.App.4th 1068, 1076 [65 Cal.Comp.Cases 650]; *Rymer v. Hagler* (1989) 211 Cal.App.3d 1171, 1182; *Scott v. Workers’ Comp. Appeals Bd.* (1981) 122 Cal.App.3d 979, 984 [46 Cal.Comp.Cases 1008]; U.S. *Pipe & Foundry Co. v. Industrial Acc. Com.* (*Hinojoza*) (1962) 201 Cal.App.2d 545, 549 [27 Cal.Comp.Cases 73].)

In this case, the WCJ issued the Findings, Award and Order on October 24, 2025. Based on the authority cited above, lien claimant had until Tuesday, November 18, 2025 to seek reconsideration. EAMS reflects that lien claimant filed the Petition for Reconsideration on November 18, 2025 at “18:32” (i.e., 6:32 p.m.). Therefore, it was deemed untimely filed on November 19, 2025 and will be dismissed.

---

<sup>3</sup> EAMS is an acronym for Electronic Adjudication Management System, a computerized system used by the Division of Workers’ Compensation (DWC) to store and maintain Appeals Board electronic case files. (See Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, §§ 10269(p), 10215 et seq.

For the foregoing reasons,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the Petition for Reconsideration is **DISMISSED**.

**WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD**

/s/ KATHERINE A. ZALEWSKI, CHAIR

I CONCUR,

/s/ JOSEPH V. CAPURRO, COMMISSIONER

/s/ PAUL F. KELLY, COMMISSIONER



**DATED AND FILED AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**FEBRUARY 2, 2026**

**SERVICE MADE ON THE ABOVE DATE ON THE PERSONS LISTED BELOW AT THEIR ADDRESSES SHOWN ON THE CURRENT OFFICIAL ADDRESS RECORD.**

**PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT SERVICES  
LAW OFFICES OF SIEGEL, MORENO & STETTLER, APC**

**PAG/bp**

I certify that I affixed the official seal of the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board to this original decision on this date.  
BP