WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PAUL ALVARADO, Applicant

vs.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES, permissibly self-insured, *Defendant*

Adjudication Numbers: ADJ20015360; ADJ20015361 Los Angeles District Office

OPINION AND DECISION AFTER RECONSIDERATION

We previously granted reconsideration on December 6, 2024, and issued a Notice of Intention to rescind the decision of the arbitrator in this matter (NIT) due to the lack of a complete record.

Defendant City of Los Angeles seeks reconsideration of the Findings and Award (F&A) issued by a workers' compensation arbitrator (WCA) on June 4, 2024. The Petition for Reconsideration (Petition) came to the attention of the Appeals Board as an exhibit to the Writ of Review filed with the Second Appellate District on October 28, 2024, and served on the Appeals Board at <u>WCABWritUnit@dir.ca.gov</u>.

Although not filed in accordance with our rules, we accepted a copy of the Petition attached to the writ for filing. We granted the Petition and issued a Notice of Intention (NIT) that the June 4, 2024 decision by the WCA will be rescinded unless the required documents per WCAB Rule 10990(f)(3) are filed in the Electronic Adjudication Management System (EAMS) within thirty (30) days after service of this decision, plus an additional five (5) days for mailing per WCAB Rule 10605 (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 10605).

Thereafter, several documents were filed in EAMS by one of the parties. Unfortunately, however, multiple evidentiary documents are still missing as evidence and exhibits necessary for us to fully review and determine the merits of the Petition, including, but not limited to, the F&A dated June 4, 2024, as well as part I of the Transcript, documentation as to supplemental

proceedings held on April 19, 2024, additional exhibits taken in at the supplemental hearing, and/or briefs requested by the WCA.

Based on our review of the limited submitted record, and for the reasons discussed below, we will rescind the F&A and return the matter to the arbitrator due to lack of a proper record. When the WCA issues a new decision, any aggrieved person may timely seek reconsideration.

WCAB Rule 10990 provides that if the arbitrator does not rescind the entire order, decision or award within 15 days of receiving the petition for reconsideration per WCAB Rule 10990(f)(1) or 10990(f)(2), WCAB Rule 10990(f)(3) requires the arbitrator to submit to the Appeals Board an electric copy of the *complete record of proceedings* including:

(A) The transcript of proceedings, if any;

- (B) A summary of testimony if the proceedings were not transcribed;
- (C) The documentary evidence submitted by each of the parties;
- (D) An opinion that sets forth the rationale for the decision; and
- (E) A report on the petition for reconsideration, consistent with the provisions of rule 10962. The original arbitration record shall not be filed.

(Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 10990(f)(3)(A)-(E); see also Lab. Code, §§ 3201.5(a)(1), 3201.7(a)(3)(A).) (emphasis added.)

WCAB Rule 10914 requires the arbitrator to make and maintain the record of the arbitration proceeding, which must include the following:

(1) Order Appointing Arbitrator;

(2) Notices of appearance of the parties involved in the arbitration;

(3) Minutes of the arbitration proceedings, identifying those present, the date of the proceeding, the disposition and those served with the minutes or the identification of the party designated to serve the minutes;

(4) Pleadings, petitions, objections, briefs and responses filed by the parties with the arbitrator;

(5) Exhibits filed by the parties;

(6) Stipulations and issues entered into by the parties;

(7) Arbitrator's Summary of Evidence containing evidentiary rulings, a description of exhibits admitted into evidence, the identification of witnesses who testified and summary of witness testimony;

(8) Verbatim transcripts of witness testimony if witness testimony was taken under oath.

(9) Findings, orders, awards, decisions and opinions on decision made by the arbitrator; and

(10) Arbitrator's report on petition for reconsideration, removal or disqualification.

(Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 10914(c).)

On December 6, 2024, we granted reconsideration in order to provide the parties sufficient opportunity to provide us with the complete record of the arbitration proceedings. Our NIT to rescind the decision of the arbitrator allowed for thirty (30) days after service of the decision, plus an additional five (5) days for mailing per WCAB Rule 10605¹ for the required documents per WCAB Rule 10990(f)(3) to be filed in EAMS. To date, however, *the record still does not include* all necessary exhibits and pleadings.

The Appeals Board may not ignore due process for the sake of expediency. (*Barri v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (2018) 28 Cal.App.5th 428, 469 [83 Cal.Comp.Cases 1643] [claimants in workers' compensation proceedings are not denied due process when proceedings are delayed in order to ensure compliance with the mandate to accomplish substantial justice]; *Rucker v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 151, 157-158 [65 Cal.Comp.Cases 805] [all parties to a workers' compensation proceeding retain the fundamental right to due process and a fair hearing under both the California and United States Constitutions].) "Even though workers' compensation matters are to be handled expeditiously by the Board and its trial judges, administrative efficiency at the expense of due process is not permissible." (*Fremont Indem. Co. v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (1984) 153 Cal.App.3d 965, 971 [49 Cal.Comp.Cases 288]; see Ogden Entertainment Services v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd. (2014) 233 Cal.App.4th 970, 985 [80 Cal.Comp.Cases 1].)

The Appeals Board's constitutional requirement to accomplish substantial justice means that the Appeals Board must protect the due process rights of every person seeking reconsideration. (See *San Bernardino Cmty. Hosp. v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (1999) 74 Cal.App.4th 928, 936

¹ Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8 § 10605.

[64 Cal.Comp.Cases 986] ["essence of due process is . . . notice and the opportunity to be heard"]; *Katzin v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (1992) 5 Cal.App.4th 703, 710 [57 Cal.Comp.Cases 230].) In fact, "a denial of due process renders the appeals board's decision unreasonable..." and therefore vulnerable to a writ of review. (*Von Ritzhoff, supra*, 233 Cal.App.4th at p. 985 citing Lab. Code, § 5952(a), (c).) Thus, due process requires a meaningful consideration of the merits of every case de novo with a well-reasoned decision based on the evidentiary record and the relevant law.

As with a workers' compensation administrative law judge (WCJ), an arbitrator's decision must be based on admitted evidence and must be supported by substantial evidence. (*Hamilton v. Lockheed Corporation (Hamilton)* (2001) 66 Cal.Comp.Cases 473, 476 (Appeals Board en banc).) Meaningful review of an arbitrator's decision requires that the "decision be based on an ascertainable and adequate record," including "an *orderly identification* in the record of the evidence submitted by a party; and *what evidence is admitted or denied admission.*" (*Lewis v. Arlie Rogers & Sons* (2003) 69 Cal.Comp.Cases 490, 494, emphasis in original.) "An organized evidentiary record assists an arbitrator in rendering a decision, informs the parties what evidence will be utilized by the arbitrator in making a determination, preserves the rights of parties to object to proffered evidence, and affords meaningful review by the Board, or reviewing tribunal." (*Id.*; see also *Evans v. Workmen's Comp. Appeals Bd.* (1968) 68 Cal.2d 753 [a full and complete record allows for a meaningful right of reconsideration].)

We are unable to conduct meaningful review of the Petition or render a decision based on an incomplete record. Accordingly, as our decision after reconsideration, we will rescind the arbitrator's decision and return the matter to the trial level. When the WCA issues a new decision, any aggrieved person may timely seek reconsideration. For the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED as the Decision After Reconsideration of the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board that the Findings and Award issued by the WCA on June 4, 2024 is **RESCINDED** and the matter is **RETURNED** to the arbitrator for further proceedings consistent with this decision.

WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD

/s/ JOSEPH V. CAPURRO, COMMISSIONER

I CONCUR, /s/ CRAIG SNELLINGS, COMMISSIONER

/s/ JOSÉ H. RAZO, COMMISSIONER



DATED AND FILED AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

FEBRUARY 27, 2025

SERVICE MADE ON THE ABOVE DATE ON THE PERSONS LISTED BELOW AT THEIR ADDRESSES SHOWN ON THE CURRENT OFFICIAL ADDRESS RECORD.

PAUL ALVARADO C&B LAW GROUP LOS ANGELES CITY ATTORNEY MARK KAHN, ARBITRATOR

LAS/cs

I certify that I affixed the official seal of the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board to this original decision on this date. CS