# WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### MARIA MORFIN, Applicant

vs.

## BODEGA LATINA CORPORATION DBA EL SUPER MARKET; SAFETY NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY administered by ESIS, *Defendants*

Adjudication Number: ADJ14904382

**Pomona District Office** 

### OPINION AND ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR REMOVAL

We have considered the allegations of the Petition for Removal and the contents of the report of the workers' compensation administrative law judge (WCJ) with respect thereto. Based on our review of the record and based upon the WCJ's analysis of the merits of petitioner's arguments in the WCJ's report, we will deny removal.

Removal is an extraordinary remedy rarely exercised by the Appeals Board. (*Cortez v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (2006) 136 Cal.App.4th 596, 599, fn. 5 [71 Cal.Comp.Cases 155]; *Kleemann v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (2005) 127 Cal.App.4th 274, 280, fn. 2 [70 Cal.Comp.Cases 133].) The Appeals Board will grant removal only if the petitioner shows that substantial prejudice or irreparable harm will result if removal is not granted. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 10955(a); see also *Cortez, supra; Kleemann, supra.*) Also, the petitioner must demonstrate that reconsideration will not be an adequate remedy if a final decision adverse to the petitioner ultimately issues. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 10955(a).) Here, based upon the WCJ's analysis of the merits of petitioner's arguments, we are not persuaded that substantial prejudice or irreparable harm will result if removal is denied and/or that reconsideration will not be an adequate remedy if the the analequate remedy if the matter ultimately proceeds to a final decision adverse to petitioner.

For the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the Petition for Removal is DENIED.

## WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD

## /s/ CRAIG SNELLINGS, COMMISSIONER

I CONCUR,

/s/ JOSÉ H. RAZO, COMMISSIONER

/s/ ANNE SCHMITZ, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER



## DATED AND FILED AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

[Date]

# SERVICE MADE ON THE ABOVE DATE ON THE PERSONS LISTED BELOW AT THEIR ADDRESSES SHOWN ON THE CURRENT OFFICIAL ADDRESS RECORD.

MARIA MORFIN PÉREZ LAW, PC COOPER BROWN, APC TAPPIN & ASSOCIATES

AS/mc

I certify that I affixed the official seal of the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board to this original decision on this date. *MC* 

# WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### MARIA MORFIN, Applicant

vs.

### BODEGA LATINA CORPORATION dba EL SUPER MARKET; SAFETY NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY, administered by ESIS, *Defendants*

## Adjudication Number: ADJ14904382 Pomona District Office

## OPINION AND ORDER CORRECTING CLERICAL ERROR

It has come to the Appeals Board's attention that its decision served April 11, 2025 contains a clerical error consisting of the omission of the date of service. The decision served contains a blank space where the date of service should appear.

We correct this clerical error by virtue of this decision without granting reconsideration, as such errors may be corrected without further proceedings at any time. (See 2 *Cal. Workers' Comp. Practice* (Cont. Ed. Bar, March 2019 Update) Supplemental Proceedings, § 23.74, p. 23-76.)

For the foregoing reasons,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the clerical error consisting of the omission of the date of service set forth in the Board's Opinion and Order Denying Petition for Removal to reflect the following date of service: April 11, 2025.

## WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD

# /s/ CRAIG SNELLINGS, COMMISSIONER

I CONCUR,

/s/ JOSÉ H. RAZO, COMMISSIONER

/s/ ANNE SCHMITZ, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

# DATED AND FILED AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

# April 16, 2025

# SERVICE MADE ON THE ABOVE DATE ON THE PERSONS LISTED BELOW AT THEIR ADDRESSES SHOWN ON THE CURRENT OFFICIAL ADDRESS RECORD.

MARIA MORFIN PÉREZ LAW COOPER BROWN, APC TAPPIN & ASSOCIATES

AS/abs

I certify that I affixed the official seal of the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board to this original decision on this date. *abs* 

