

**WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

PATRICIA GURROLA, *Applicant*

vs.

ABM INDUSTRIES, INC., permissibly self-insured, administered by ESIS, *Defendants*

Adjudication Number: ADJ11564195

Los Angeles District Office

**OPINION AND
DECISION AFTER
RECONSIDERATION**

We granted reconsideration in order to further study the factual and legal issues in this case.¹ This is our Opinion and Decision After Reconsideration.

Applicant seeks reconsideration of the Findings and Order (F&O) issued by a workers' compensation arbitrator (WCA) on or about September 30, 2021.

Applicant contends that defendant's petition for costs and sanctions should be barred; that the WCA exceeded his authority in awarding costs and sanctions; and that the WCA did not timely issue his decision.

We did not receive an answer from defendant. We received a Report and Recommendation on Petition for Reconsideration (Report) from the WCA, which recommends that we deny reconsideration.

We have considered the allegations of the Petition for Reconsideration and the contents of the Report with respect thereto. Based on our review of the record, and for the reasons discussed below, we will rescind the F&O and return the matter to the WCA due to lack of a proper record. When the WCA issues a new decision, any aggrieved person may timely seek reconsideration.

¹ Deputy Commissioner Schmitz, who was on the panel that granted reconsideration, was unable to participate in this case. Another panelist was assigned in her place.

WCAB Rule 10990 provides that after receipt of a petition for reconsideration, an arbitrator must rescind or amend the entire order, decision or award within 15 days (subdivisions (f)(1), (f)(2)), or under subdivision (f)(3), the WCA must submit an electric copy of the complete record of proceedings to the Appeals Board including:

- (A) The transcript of proceedings, if any;
- (B) A summary of testimony if the proceedings were not transcribed;
- (C) The documentary evidence submitted by each of the parties;
- (D) An opinion that sets forth the rationale for the decision; and
- (E) A report on the petition for reconsideration, consistent with the provisions of rule 10962. The original arbitration record shall not be filed.

(Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 10990(f)(3)(A)-(E).)

WCAB Rule 10914 requires the arbitrator to make and maintain the record of the arbitration proceeding, which must include the following:

- (1) Order Appointing Arbitrator;
- (2) Notices of appearance of the parties involved in the arbitration;
- (3) Minutes of the arbitration proceedings, identifying those present, the date of the proceeding, the disposition and those served with the minutes or the identification of the party designated to serve the minutes;
- (4) Pleadings, petitions, objections, briefs and responses filed by the parties with the arbitrator;
- (5) Exhibits filed by the parties;
- (6) Stipulations and issues entered into by the parties;
- (7) Arbitrator's Summary of Evidence containing evidentiary rulings, a description of exhibits admitted into evidence, the identification of witnesses who testified and summary of witness testimony;
- (8) Verbatim transcripts of witness testimony if witness testimony was taken under oath.
- (9) Findings, orders, awards, decisions and opinions on decision made by the arbitrator; and

(10) Arbitrator's report on petition for reconsideration, removal or disqualification.

(Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 10914(c).)

As with a workers' compensation administrative law judge (WCJ), an arbitrator's decision must be based on admitted evidence and must be supported by substantial evidence. (*Hamilton v. Lockheed Corporation (Hamilton)* (2001) 66 Cal.Comp.Cases 473, 476 (Appeals Board en banc).) An adequate and complete record is necessary to understand the basis for the WCJ's decision. (Lab. Code, § 5313; see also Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 10566.) "It is the responsibility of the parties and the WCJ to ensure that the record is complete when a case is submitted for decision on the record. At a minimum, the record must contain, in properly organized form, the issues submitted for decision, the admissions and stipulations of the parties, and admitted evidence." (*Hamilton, supra*, 66 Cal.Comp.Cases at p. 475.) The WCJ's decision must "set[] forth clearly and concisely the reasons for the decision made on each issue, and the evidence relied on," so that "the parties, and the Board if reconsideration is sought, [can] ascertain the basis for the decision[.] . . . For the opinion on decision to be meaningful, the WCJ must refer with specificity to an adequate and completely developed record." (*Id.* at p. 476 (citing *Evans v. Workmen's Comp. Appeals Bd.* (1968) 68 Cal. 2d 753, 755 [33 Cal.Comp.Cases 350] [a full and complete record allows for a meaningful right of reconsideration]; *Lewis v. Arlie Rogers & Sons* (2003) 69 Cal.Comp.Cases 490, 494, emphasis in original ["decision [must] be based on an ascertainable and adequate record," including "an *orderly identification* in the record of the evidence submitted by a party; and *what evidence is admitted or denied admission*."].) "An organized evidentiary record assists an arbitrator in rendering a decision, informs the parties what evidence will be utilized by the arbitrator in making a determination, preserves the rights of parties to object to proffered evidence, and affords meaningful review by the Board, or reviewing tribunal." (*Id.*)

Here, the WCA issued the Report dated December 8, 2021, however, *the record does not include the decision* that is challenged by applicant. Moreover, the record does not contain any identification of the stipulations, exhibits, and issues at trial.

The Appeals Board may not ignore due process for the sake of expediency. (*Barri v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (2018) 28 Cal.App.5th 428, 469 [83 Cal.Comp.Cases 1643] [claimants in workers' compensation proceedings are not denied due process when proceedings are delayed in order to ensure compliance with the mandate to accomplish substantial justice];

Rucker v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd. (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 151, 157-158 [65 Cal.Comp.Cases 805] [all parties to a workers' compensation proceeding retain the fundamental right to due process and a fair hearing under both the California and United States Constitutions].) "Even though workers' compensation matters are to be handled expeditiously by the Board and its trial judges, administrative efficiency at the expense of due process is not permissible." (*Fremont Indem. Co. v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (1984) 153 Cal.App.3d 965, 971 [49 Cal.Comp.Cases 288]; see *Ogden Entertainment Services v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd. (Von Ritzhoff)* (2014) 233 Cal.App.4th 970, 985 [80 Cal.Comp.Cases 1].)

The Appeals Board's constitutional requirement to accomplish substantial justice means that the Appeals Board must protect the due process rights of every person seeking reconsideration. (See *San Bernardino Cmty. Hosp. v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (1999) 74 Cal.App.4th 928, 936 [64 Cal.Comp.Cases 986] ["essence of due process is . . . notice and the opportunity to be heard"]; *Katzin v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (1992) 5 Cal.App.4th 703, 710 [57 Cal.Comp.Cases 230].) In fact, "a denial of due process renders the appeals board's decision unreasonable..." and therefore vulnerable to a writ of review. (*Von Ritzhoff, supra*, 233 Cal.App.4th at p. 985 citing Lab. Code, § 5952(a), (c).) Thus, due process requires a meaningful consideration of the merits of every case de novo with a well-reasoned decision based on the evidentiary record and the relevant law.

We are unable to conduct meaningful review of the Petition or render a decision based on an incomplete record. Accordingly, as our decision after reconsideration, we will rescind the arbitrator's decision and return the matter to the trial level. When the WCA issues a new decision, any aggrieved person may timely seek reconsideration.

For the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED as the Decision After Reconsideration of the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board that the Findings and Order issued by the WCA on or about September 30, 2021 is **RESCINDED** and the matter is **RETURNED** to the trial level for further proceedings consistent with this decision.

WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD

/s/ JOSÉ H. RAZO, COMMISSIONER

I CONCUR,

/s/ CRAIG SNELLINGS, COMMISSIONER

/s/ LISA A. SUSSMAN, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER



DATED AND FILED AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

July 8, 2024

SERVICE MADE ON THE ABOVE DATE ON THE PERSONS LISTED BELOW AT THEIR ADDRESSES SHOWN ON THE CURRENT OFFICIAL ADDRESS RECORD.

**PATRICIA GURROLA
LAW OFFICES OF RAMIN YOUNESSI
SCHOCHET SOLOMON
ROBERT DRAKULICH, ARBITRATOR**

AS/mc

I certify that I affixed the official seal of the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board to this original decision on this date. *MC*