## WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### **MICHAEL G. LEWIS**, Applicant

vs.

#### CITY OF LOS ANGELES; permissibly self-insured, Defendants

Adjudication Number: ADJ19483147 Los Angeles District Office

#### OPINION AND ORDER GRANTING PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Reconsideration has been sought with regard to the Findings and Award (F&A) issued on March 26, 2024 by a workers' compensation arbitrator (WCA).

Defendant City of Los Angeles seeks reconsideration of the F&A in which the WCA found in pertinent part that applicant sustained a "catastrophic" injury arising out of and in the course of employment on March 5, 2019, resulting in permanent total disability indemnity.

Defendant asserts that the WCA erred in finding applicant permanently totally disabled per Labor Code<sup>1</sup> sections 4662(a)(2) and (a)(3). Additionally, defendant contends that the WCA erred in finding applicant sustained injury to his back.

We received an Answer from applicant. We received a Report and Recommendation on Petition for Reconsideration (Report) from the WCA, which recommends that we either dismiss the petition as untimely or deny reconsideration.

At this time, it is unclear as to whether we have a complete record of proceedings per WCAB Rule 10990 (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 10990). Taking into account the statutory time constraints for acting on the Petition, and based upon our initial review of the record, we believe reconsideration must be granted to allow sufficient opportunity to further study the factual and legal issues in this case. We believe that this action is necessary to give us a complete understanding of the record and to enable us to issue a just and reasoned decision. Reconsideration

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All further references are to the Labor Code unless otherwise stated.

is therefore granted for this purpose and for such further proceedings as we may hereafter determine to be appropriate.

WCAB Rule 10990 provides that if the arbitrator does not rescind the entire order, decision or award within 15 days of receiving the petition for reconsideration per WCAB Rule 10990(f)(1) or 10990(f)(2), subdivision (f)(3) requires the arbitrator to submit to the Appeals Board an electronic copy of the complete record of proceedings including:

(A) The transcript of proceedings, if any;

(B) A summary of testimony if the proceedings were not transcribed;

(C) The documentary evidence submitted by each of the parties;

(D) An opinion that sets forth the rationale for the decision; and

(E) A report on the petition for reconsideration, consistent with the provisions of rule 10962. The original arbitration record shall not be filed.

(Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 10990(f)(3)(A)-(E); see also Lab. Code, §§ 3201.5(a)(1), 3201.7(a)(3)(A).)

Here, while the WCA issued the Report on May 7, 2024, we will need to confirm we are in possession of a complete record of proceedings as set forth above.

Further, we note the following statement of the WCA as set forth in the Report:

With apologies to the Panel of Commissioners, arbitrator hereby notifies them that he is preparing this Report and Recommendation prior to receiving a Petition for Reconsideration, because he is leaving the country for five weeks, and has insufficient time to review the anticipated Petition and prepare a Report and Recommendation before embarking on his journey.

Therefore, this Report and Recommendation cites only contentions and arguments which arbitrator *anticipates*. Arbitrator instructs the Ombudsperson to file and serve this Report and Recommendation, *only if and when the anticipated Petition is received*. (Report, p. 4-5.)

The Appeals Board may not ignore due process for the sake of expediency. (*Barri v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (2018) 28 Cal.App.5th 428, 469 [83 Cal.Comp.Cases 1643] [claimants in workers' compensation proceedings are not denied due process when proceedings are delayed in order to ensure compliance with the mandate to accomplish substantial justice]; *Rucker v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 151, 157-158 [65 Cal.Comp.Cases

805] [all parties to a workers' compensation proceeding retain the fundamental right to due process and a fair hearing under both the California and United States Constitutions].) "Even though workers' compensation matters are to be handled expeditiously by the Board and its trial judges, administrative efficiency at the expense of due process is not permissible." (*Fremont Indem. Co. v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (1984) 153 Cal.App.3d 965, 971 [49 Cal.Comp.Cases 288]; see *Ogden Entertainment Services v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (*Von Ritzhoff*) (2014) 233 Cal.App.4th 970, 985 [80 Cal.Comp.Cases 1].)

The Appeals Board's constitutional requirement to accomplish substantial justice means that the Appeals Board must protect the due process rights of every person seeking reconsideration. (See *San Bernardino Cmty. Hosp. v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (1999) 74 Cal.App.4th 928, 936 [64 Cal.Comp.Cases 986] ["essence of due process is . . . notice and the opportunity to be heard"]; *Katzin v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (1992) 5 Cal.App.4th 703, 710 [57 Cal.Comp.Cases 230].) In fact, "a denial of due process renders the appeals board's decision unreasonable..." and therefore vulnerable to a writ of review. (*Von Ritzhoff, supra*, 233 Cal.App.4th at p. 985 citing Lab. Code, § 5952(a), (c).) Thus, due process requires a meaningful consideration of the merits of every case de novo with a well-reasoned decision based on the evidentiary record and the relevant law.

As with a workers' compensation administrative law judge (WCJ), an arbitrator's decision must be based on admitted evidence and must be supported by substantial evidence. (*Hamilton v. Lockheed Corporation (Hamilton)* (2001) 66 Cal.Comp.Cases 473, 476 (Appeals Board en banc).) Meaningful review of an arbitrator's decision requires that the "decision be based on an ascertainable and adequate record," including "an *orderly identification* in the record of the evidence submitted by a party; and *what evidence is admitted or denied admission.*" (*Lewis v. Arlie Rogers & Sons* (2003) 69 Cal.Comp.Cases 490, 494, emphasis in original.) "An organized evidentiary record assists an arbitrator in rendering a decision, informs the parties what evidence will be utilized by the arbitrator in making a determination, preserves the rights of parties to object to proffered evidence, and affords meaningful review by the Board, or reviewing tribunal." (*Id.*; see also *Evans v. Workmen's Comp. Appeals Bd.* (1968) 68 Cal.2d 753 [a full and complete record allows for a meaningful right of reconsideration].)

Accordingly, we grant reconsideration and order that a final decision on the merits is deferred.

For the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that Reconsideration is GRANTED.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a final decision after reconsideration is **DEFERRED** pending further review of the merits of the Petition for Reconsideration and further consideration of the entire record in light of the applicable statutory and decisional law.

#### WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD

## /s/ \_LISA A. SUSSMAN, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

I CONCUR,

/s/\_CRAIG SNELLINGS, COMMISSIONER\_\_\_\_

JOSEPH V. CAPURRO, COMMISSIONER CONCURRING NOT SIGNING

## DATED AND FILED AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

July 2, 2024

# SERVICE MADE ON THE ABOVE DATE ON THE PERSONS LISTED BELOW AT THEIR ADDRESSES SHOWN ON THE CURRENT OFFICIAL ADDRESS RECORD.

MICHAEL G. LEWIS LEWIS MARENSTEIN WICKE & SHERWIN CITY ATTORNEY OF LOS ANGELES

LAS/00

I certify that I affixed the official seal of the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board to this original decision on this date. 0.0

