HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
State(s) of Licensure: California
Certification(s)/Specialty: Emergency Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 46 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on August 28, 2013. He reported right elbow pain. Treatment to date has included x-ray, medication, physical therapy, MRI, electrodiagnostic study, urine drug screen, TENS unit, acupuncture and sleep study. Currently, the injured worker complains of right elbow pain that radiates to his shoulder and down into his fingers accompanied by occasional numbness and tingling in the right hand. The pain is constant (rated at 4-10 on 10 with average being 6 on 10). He also describes a clicking sensation in his elbow. The pain is exacerbated with bending and straitening the elbow, twisting, sudden movement, gripping, grasping, lifting and carrying. The injured worker reports sleep disturbance due to pain and difficulty engaging in activities of daily living. The injured worker is diagnosed with osteoarthritis (medial elbow joint compartment), lateral epicondyritis and subcortical cyst (olecranon process). His work status is temporary total disability. A note dated May 8, 2015 states physical therapy provided temporary relief. The note also states the injured worker is not experiencing any significant relief from acupuncture. The following medications, Terocin 120 ml, capsaicin 0.025%, Flurbi (NAP) cream-LA 180 gm, Gabacyclotram 180 mg, Terocin Pain Patch #20, Calypxo 2% cream, Genicin #90, Somnicin #30, Theramine #90, Norco 10-325 mg #120, Xanax 1 mg #60, Gabadone #60, Sentra PM 360 and Sentra AM #60 are being requested to aid the injured worker in symptom relief.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES
The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Terocin 120ml:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

**Decision rationale:** The request is for the use of a compounded medication for topical use to aid in pain relief. These products contain multiple ingredients which each have specific properties and mechanisms of action. The MTUS guidelines state the following: "Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended." In this case, the use of this product is not indicated. This is secondary to poor scientific evidence of efficacy for the patient's condition when applied topically. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

**Capsaicin 0.025%:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

**Decision rationale:** The request is for the use of a compounded medication for topical use to aid in pain relief. These products contain multiple ingredients which each have specific properties and mechanisms of action. The MTUS guidelines state the following: "Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended." In this case, the compounded topical treatment contains Capsaicin. Qualifying factors for this product is indicated by the following per the guidelines: Capsaicin: Recommended only as an option in patients who have not responded or are intolerant to other treatments. Formulations: Capsaicin is generally available as a 0.025% formulation (as a treatment for osteoarthritis) and a 0.075% formulation (primarily studied for post-herpetic neuralgia, diabetic neuropathy and post-mastectomy pain). There have been no studies of a 0.0375% formulation of capsaicin and there is no current indication that this increase over a 0.025% formulation would provide any further efficacy. Indications: There are positive randomized studies with capsaicin cream in patients with osteoarthritis, fibromyalgia, and chronic non-specific back pain, but it should be considered experimental in very high doses. Although topical capsaicin has moderate to poor efficacy, it may be particularly useful (alone or in conjunction with other modalities) in patients whose pain has not been controlled successfully with conventional therapy. The number needed to treat in musculoskeletal conditions was 8.1. The number needed to treat for neuropathic conditions was 5.7. (Robbins, 2000) (Keitel, 2001) (Mason-BMJ, 2004) In this case, as stated above, the patient would not qualify for the use of capsaicin based on the diagnosis. As such, the request is not medically necessary.
**Flurbi (NAP) cream-LA 180gm:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

**Decision rationale:** The request is for the use of a compounded medication for topical use to aid in pain relief. These products contain multiple ingredients which each have specific properties and mechanisms of action. The MTUS guidelines state the following: "Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended." In this case, the compounded topical treatment contains an NSAID. Qualifying factors for this product is indicated by the following per the guidelines: The efficacy in clinical trials for this treatment modality has been inconsistent and most studies are small and of short duration. Topical NSAIDs have been shown in meta-analysis to be superior to placebo during the first 2 weeks of treatment for osteoarthritis, but either not afterward, or with a diminishing effect over another 2-week period. (Lin, 2004) (Bjordal, 2007) (Mason, 2004) When investigated specifically for osteoarthritis of the knee, topical NSAIDs have been shown to be superior to placebo for 4 to 12 weeks. Indications: Osteoarthritis and tendinitis, in particular, that of the knee and elbow or other joints that are amenable to topical treatment: Recommended for short-term use (4-12 weeks). There is little evidence to utilize topical NSAIDs for treatment of osteoarthritis of the spine, hip or shoulder. FDA-approved agents: Voltaren Gel 1% (diclofenac): Indicated for relief of osteoarthritis pain in joints that lend themselves to topical treatment (ankle, elbow, foot, hand, knee, and wrist). It has not been evaluated for treatment of the spine, hip or shoulder. In this case, as stated above, the patient would not qualify for the use of a topical NSAID. This is based on the diagnosis and treatment duration. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

**Gabacyclotram 180mg:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

**Decision rationale:** The request is for the use of a compounded medication for topical use to aid in pain relief. These products contain multiple ingredients which each have specific properties and mechanisms of action. The MTUS guidelines state the following: "Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended." In this case, the use of gabapentin is stated to be not indicated for use for the patient's condition. The guidelines state the following: "Gabapentin: Not recommended. There is no peer-reviewed literature to support use." As such, the request is not medically necessary.

**Terocin Pain Patch #20:** Upheld
**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

**Decision rationale:** The request is for the use of a compounded medication for topical use to aid in pain relief. These products contain multiple ingredients which each have specific properties and mechanisms of action. The MTUS guidelines state the following: "Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended." In this case, the use of this product is not indicated. This is secondary to poor scientific evidence of efficacy for the patient's condition when applied topically. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

**Calypxo 2% Cream:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

**Decision rationale:** The request is for the use of a compounded medication for topical use to aid in pain relief. These products contain multiple ingredients which each have specific properties and mechanisms of action. The MTUS guidelines state the following: "Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended." In this case, the compounded topical treatment contains an NSAID. Qualifying factors for this product is indicated by the following per the guidelines: The efficacy in clinical trials for this treatment modality has been inconsistent and most studies are small and of short duration. Topical NSAIDs have been shown in meta-analysis to be superior to placebo during the first 2 weeks of treatment for osteoarthritis, but either not afterward, or with a diminishing effect over another 2-week period. (Lin, 2004) (Bjordal, 2007) (Mason, 2004) When investigated specifically for osteoarthritis of the knee, topical NSAIDs have been shown to be superior to placebo for 4 to 12 weeks. Indications: Osteoarthritis and tendinitis, in particular, that of the knee and elbow or other joints that are amenable to topical treatment: Recommended for short-term use (4-12 weeks). There is little evidence to utilize topical NSAIDs for treatment of osteoarthritis of the spine, hip or shoulder. FDA-approved agents: Voltaren Gel 1% (diclofenac): Indicated for relief of osteoarthritis pain in joints that lend themselves to topical treatment (ankle, elbow, foot, hand, knee, and wrist). It has not been evaluated for treatment of the spine, hip or shoulder. In this case, as stated above, the patient would not qualify for the use of a topical NSAID. This is based on the diagnosis and treatment duration. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

**Genicin #90:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.
**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Glucosamine (and Chondroitin Sulfate).

**Decision rationale:** Recommended as an option given its low risk, in patients with moderate arthritis pain, especially for knee osteoarthritis. Studies have demonstrated a highly significant efficacy for crystalline glucosamine sulphate (GS) on all outcomes, including joint space narrowing, pain, mobility, safety, and response to treatment, but similar studies are lacking for glucosamine hydrochloride (GH). (Richy, 2003) (Ruane, 2002) (Towheed-Cochrane, 2001) (Braham, 2003) (Reginster, 2007) A randomized, double blind placebo controlled trial, with 212 patients, found that patients on placebo had progressive joint-space narrowing, but there was no significant joint-space loss in patients on glucosamine sulphate. In this case, the use of glucosamine is not indicated. The patient does not meet the diagnostic criteria set for use. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

**Somnicin #30:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Pain Chapter, Medical Foods.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain (Chronic)/Insomnia Treatment.

**Decision rationale:** The request is for the use of a medication used for insomnia. The Official Disability Guidelines state the following regarding this topic: Recommend that treatment be based on the etiology, with the medications recommended below. See also Insomnia. For more detail on Insomnia treatment, see the Mental Chapter. Pharmacological agents should only be used after careful evaluation of potential causes of sleep disturbance. Failure of sleep disturbance to resolve in a 7 to 10 day period may indicate a psychiatric and/or medical illness. (Lexi-Comp, 2008) Primary insomnia is generally addressed pharmacologically. Secondary insomnia may be treated with pharmacological and/or psychological measures. The specific component of insomnia should be addressed: (a) Sleep onset; (b) Sleep maintenance; (c) Sleep quality; & (d) Next-day functioning. In this case, the use of this medication is not recommended. This is secondary to inadequate documentation of a thorough evaluation of the etiology or attempted non-pharmacologic restorative measures undertaken. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

**Theramine #90:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Pain Chapter, Medical Foods.
**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain (Chronic)/Theramine.

**Decision rationale:** The request is for the use of Theramine. The Official Disability Guidelines state the following regarding this topic: Not recommended for the treatment of chronic pain. Theramine is a medical food that contains 5-hydroxytryptophan 95%, choline bitartrate, L-arginine, histidine, L-glutamine, L-serine, gamma aminobutyric acid (GABA), whey protein concentrates, grape seed extract 85%, cinnamon, and cocoa (theobromine 6%). It is intended for use in the management of pain syndromes that include acute pain, chronic pain, fibromyalgia, neuropathic pain, and inflammatory pain. The proposed mechanism of action is that it increases the production of serotonin, nitric oxide, histamine, and gamma aminobutyric acid by providing these precursors. (Micromedex, 2015) See Medical food. Under this entry discussions of the various components of this product are given. The entries for 5-hydroxytryptophan, choline bitartrate, L-arginine, histidine, L-glutamine, L-serine and GABA are given and all indicate there is no role for these supplements as treatment for chronic pain. In this case, the use of this medication is not supported by the guidelines. This is secondary to poor clinical evidence regarding efficacy in chronic pain. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

**Norco 10/325 #120:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use.

**Decision rationale:** The request is for the use of a medication in the opioid class. The MTUS guidelines state that for ongoing treatment with a pharmaceutical in this class, certain requirements are necessary. This includes not only adequate pain control, but also functional improvement. Four domains have been proposed for management of patients on opioids. This includes pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant drug-related behaviors. In this case, there is inadequate documentation of persistent functional improvement which should eventually lead to medication discontinuation. As such, the request is not certified. All opioid medications should be titrated down slowly in order to prevent a significant withdrawal syndrome. The request is not medically necessary.

**Xanax 1mg #60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG); Pain Chapter (updated 12/31/14).

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Benzodiazepines.
**Decision rationale:** The request is for the use of a medication in the category of benzodiazepines. It is usually indicated to treat anxiety disorders but has been used short-term as a muscle relaxant. The MTUS guidelines state the following: Not recommended for long-term use because long-term efficacy is unproven and there is a risk of dependence. Most guidelines limit use to 4 weeks. Their range of action includes benzodiazepines are the treatment of choice in very few conditions. Tolerance to hypnotic effects develops rapidly. Tolerance to anxiolytic effects occurs within months and long-term use may actually increase anxiety. A more appropriate treatment for anxiety disorder is an antidepressant. Tolerance to anticonvulsant and muscle relaxant effects occurs within weeks. (Baillargeon, 2003) (Ashton, 2005) In this case, a medication in this class would not be advised for continued use due to the duration of therapy. As such, the request is not certified. All benzodiazepine medications should be titrated down slowly to prevent an acute withdrawal syndrome. The request is not medically necessary.

**Sentra AM #60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Pain Chapter, Medical Foods.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain/Sentra.

**Decision rationale:** The request is for the use of Sentra which is a blend of multiple supplements. The Official Disability Guidelines state the following regarding this topic: Not recommended. Sentra PM is a medical food from [..], intended for use in management of sleep disorders associated with depression. It is a proprietary blend of choline bitartrate, glutamate, and 5-hydroxytryptophan, hawthorn berry, cocoa, gingko biloba, and acetyl L-carnitine. See Medical food, Choline, Glutamic Acid, & 5-hydroxytryptophan. In this case, the use of this medication is not indicated. As stated above, there is limited evidence to support its effectiveness. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

**Sentra PM #60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Pain Chapter, Medical Foods.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain/Sentra.

**Decision rationale:** The request is for the use of Sentra which is a blend of multiple supplements. The Official Disability Guidelines state the following regarding this topic: Not recommended. Sentra PM is a medical food from [..], intended for use in management of sleep disorders associated with depression. It is a proprietary blend of choline bitartrate, glutamate, and 5-hydroxytryptophan, hawthorn berry, cocoa, gingko biloba, and acetyl L-carnitine. See Medical food, Choline, Glutamic Acid, & 5-hydroxytryptophan. In this case, the use of this medication is not indicated. As stated above, there is limited evidence to support its effectiveness. As such, the request is not medically necessary.
proprietary blend of choline bitartrate, glutamate, and 5-hydroxytryptophan, hawthorn berry, cocoa, gingko biloba, and acetyl L-carnitine. See Medical food, Choline, Glutamic Acid, & 5-hydroxytryptophan. In this case, the use of this medication is not indicated. As stated above, there is limited evidence to support its effectiveness. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

Gabadone #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Pain Chapter, Medical Foods.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain (Chronic)/Insomnia Treatment.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a medication used for insomnia. The Official Disability Guidelines state the following regarding this topic: Recommend that treatment be based on the etiology, with the medications recommended below. See also Insomnia. For more detail on Insomnia treatment, see the Mental Chapter. Pharmacological agents should only be used after careful evaluation of potential causes of sleep disturbance. Failure of sleep disturbance to resolve in a 7 to 10 day period may indicate a psychiatric and/or medical illness. (Lexi-Comp, 2008) Primary insomnia is generally addressed pharmacologically. Secondary insomnia may be treated with pharmacological and/or psychological measures. The specific component of insomnia should be addressed: (a) Sleep onset; (b) Sleep maintenance; (c) Sleep quality; & (d) Next-day functioning. In this case, the use of this medication is not recommended. This is secondary to inadequate documentation of a thorough evaluation of the etiology or attempted non-pharmacologic restorative measures undertaken. As such, the request is not medically necessary.