

Case Number:	CM15-0100987		
Date Assigned:	06/08/2015	Date of Injury:	06/10/2009
Decision Date:	10/02/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/11/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/26/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 33 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 6/10/2009. Diagnoses have included lumbar sprain/strain, lower extremity neuritis, lumbar disc protrusion and lumbar radiculopathy. Treatment to date has included oral and topical medications. According to the progress report dated 4/21/2015, the injured worker complained of sharp and throbbing low back pain rated 7/10. The pain was relieved with medication. He complained of loss of sleep due to pain. Physical exam revealed decrease range of motion of the lumbar spine. Straight leg raise caused pain bilaterally. Authorization was requested for Cyclobenzaprine, chiropractic treatment, acupuncture, Norco, urine toxicology, epidural steroid injections at L2-S1 levels, Flurbiprofen 20%, Baclofen 10%, and Dextromethorphan 2% in a cream base, Gabapentin 10%, Amitriptyline 10%, Bupivacaine 5% in a cream base and Prilosec.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Cyclobenzaprine 7.5mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle relaxants (for pain).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 64.

Decision rationale: The MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines do not recommend long-term use of muscle relaxants such as cyclobenzaprine. The patient has been taking cyclobenzaprine for an extended period, long past the 2-3 weeks recommended by the MTUS. The clinical information submitted for review fails to meet the evidence based guidelines for the requested service. Cyclobenzaprine 7.5mg #60 is not medically necessary.

Chiropractic therapy twice a week for six weeks: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Manual therapy & manipulation.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 58-60.

Decision rationale: The request is for 12 visits of chiropractic. The Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines allow for an initial 4-6 visits after which time there should be documented functional improvement prior to authorizing more visits. The request for 12 chiropractic visits is more than what is medically necessary to establish whether the treatment is effective. Chiropractic therapy twice a week for six weeks is not medically necessary.

Acupuncture twice a week for six weeks: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

Decision rationale: The Acupuncture Medical Treatment Guidelines state that the initial authorization for acupuncture is for 3-6 treatments. Authorization for more than 6 treatments would be predicated upon documentation of functional improvement. The request for 12 treatments is greater than the number recommended for a trial to determine efficacy. Acupuncture twice a week for six weeks is not medically necessary.

Norco 10/325mg #60, BID: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 74-94.

Decision rationale: The Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that continued or long-term use of opioids should be based on documented pain relief and functional improvement

or improved quality of life. Despite the long-term use of Norco, the patient has reported very little, if any, functional improvement or pain relief over the course of the last 12 months. A previous utilization review decision provided the patient with sufficient quantity of medication to be weaned slowly off of narcotic. Norco 10/325mg #60 is not medically necessary.

Urine toxicology: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 43.

Decision rationale: The MTUS recommends using a urine drug screen to assess for the use or the presence of illegal drugs, a step to take before a therapeutic trial of opioids, to aid in the ongoing management of opioids, or to detect dependence and addiction. There is no documentation in the medical record that a urine drug screen was to be used for any of the above indications. Urine toxicology is not medically necessary.

Three epidural steroid injections at L4-S1: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Epidural steroid injections (ESIs).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 46.

Decision rationale: According to the MTUS, several diagnostic criteria must be present to recommend an epidural steroid injection. The most important criteria are that radiculopathy must be documented by physical examination and corroborated by imaging studies and/or electrodiagnostic testing. In the therapeutic phase, repeat blocks should be based on continued objective documented pain and functional improvement, including at least 50% pain relief with associated reduction of medication use for six to eight weeks, with a general recommendation of no more than 4 blocks per region per year. The medical record lacks sufficient documentation and does not support a referral request. The MTUS does not recommend a series of three injections as has been requested currently. Three epidural steroid injections at L4-S1 is not medically necessary.

Flurbiprofen 20%, Baclofen 10%, and Dextromethorphan 2% in a cream base: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 111.

Decision rationale: According to the MTUS, there is little to no research to support the use of many of these Compounded Topical Analgesics. Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended. Flurbiprofen topical is not supported by the MTUS. Additionally, Baclofen is not recommended. There is no peer-reviewed literature to support the use of topical baclofen. Flurbiprofen 20%, Baclofen 10%, and Dextromethorphan 2% in a cream base is not medically necessary.

Prilosec 20mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 68.

Decision rationale: According to the Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, prior to starting the patient on a proton pump inhibitor, physicians are asked to evaluate the patient and to determine if the patient is at risk for gastrointestinal events. Criteria used are: (1) age > 65 years; (2) history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation; (3) concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; or (4) high dose/multiple NSAID. There is no documentation that the patient has any of the risk factors needed to recommend the proton pump inhibitor omeprazole. Prilosec 20mg #60 is not medically necessary.

Gabapentin 10%, Amitriptyline 10%, Bupivacaine 5% in a cream base: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 111-113.

Decision rationale: According to the MTUS, there is little to no research to support the use of many of these compounded topical analgesics. Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended. Gabapentin is not recommended. There is no peer-reviewed literature to support use. Gabapentin 10%, Amitriptyline 10%, Bupivacaine 5% in a cream base is not medically necessary.