

Case Number:	CM14-0142214		
Date Assigned:	09/10/2014	Date of Injury:	08/28/2003
Decision Date:	10/16/2014	UR Denial Date:	08/06/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	09/03/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation and is licensed to practice in Nevada. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

Norco (hydrocodone/acetaminophen) is a short acting opiate indicated for the management in controlling moderate to severe pain. This medication is often used for intermittent or breakthrough pain. The California MTUS guidelines support short-acting opiates at the lowest possible dose that establishes improvement (decrease) in the pain complaints and increased functionality, as well as the ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use and side effects. The injured employee has chronic pain after a work-related injury; however, there is no objective clinical documentation of improvement in the pain or function with the current regimen. As such, this request for Norco is not considered medically necessary.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Vicodin 500/5mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 74-78, 88, 91.

Decision rationale: Norco (hydrocodone/acetaminophen) is a short acting opiate indicated for the management in controlling moderate to severe pain. This medication is often used for intermittent or breakthrough pain. The California MTUS guidelines support short-acting opiates at the lowest possible dose that establishes improvement (decrease) in the pain complaints and increased functionality, as well as the ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use and side effects. The injured employee has chronic pain after a work-related injury; however, there is no objective clinical documentation of improvement in the pain or function with the current regimen. As such, this request for Norco is not considered medically necessary.

Prilosec 20mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Katz PO, Gerson LB, Vela MF. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. AM J Gastroenterol. 2013 Mar; 108(3):308-28

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 68-69.

Decision rationale: Prilosec (omeprazole) is a proton pump inhibitor useful for the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) and is considered a gastric protectant for individuals utilizing non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medications. There is no indication in the record provided of a G.I. disorder. Additionally, the injured employee does not have a significant risk factor for potential G.I. complications as outlined by the MTUS. Therefore, this request for Prilosec is not medically necessary.