

Case Number:	CM14-0103822		
Date Assigned:	09/24/2014	Date of Injury:	01/06/2010
Decision Date:	11/19/2014	UR Denial Date:	06/10/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/07/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Neurology, has a subspecialty in Neuromuscular Medicine and is licensed to practice in New Jersey. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The patient is a 50-year-old woman who sustained a work-related injury on January 6, 2010. Subsequently, she developed chronic low back pain. The patient underwent L4-S1 posterior lumbar interbody fusion, intertransverse process and posterolateral fusion, neural foraminotomies with nerve root decompression, and L4-S1 lysis of adhesions on January 31, 2014. According to a progress report dated on April 21 2014, physical examination showed positive Tinel's sign, The patient was diagnosed with lumbago. The provider requested authorization for Ondansetron, Orphenadrine Citrate ER, Tramadol ER , and Terocin patch.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Ondansetron 8mg ODT #30 x 2: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Antiemetics, Opioid Nausea

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle Relaxants Page(s): 63.

Decision rationale: Ondansetron is an antiemetic drug following the use of chemotherapy. Although MTUS guidelines are silent regarding the use of Ondansetron, there is no

documentation in the patient's chart regarding the occurrence of medication induced nausea and vomiting. Therefore, the prescription of Ondansetron ODT 8mg # 30 is not medically necessary.

Orphenadrine Citrate ER 100mg #120: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle Relaxants.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Antispasticity Drugs Page(s): 66.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, Orphenadrine (Norflex, Banflex, Antiflex, Mio-Rel, Orphenate, generic) is a muscle relaxant with anticholinergic effects. MUTUS guidelines stated that a non-sedating muscle relaxants is recommended with caution as a second line option for short term treatment of acute exacerbations in patients with chronic lumbosacral pain. Efficacy appears to diminish over time and prolonged use may cause dependence. The patient in this case does not have clear and recent evidence of acute exacerbation of spasm. The request of Orphenadrine ER 100mg is not medically necessary.

Tramadol ER 150mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Tramadol Page(s): 113.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, Ultram (Tramadol) is a synthetic opioid indicated for the pain management but not recommended as a first line oral analgesic. In addition and according to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules:(a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy.(b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function.(c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non-adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework. There is no clear recent and objective documentation of pain and functional improvement in this patient with previous use of Tramadol. There is no clear documentation of compliance for previous use of tramadol. There is no documentation of severe pain that require the use of Tramadol. Therefore, the prescription of Tramadol ER 150mg Qty:90 is not medically necessary.

Terocin Patch #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111.

Decision rationale: Terocin lotion is formed by the combination of methyl salicylate, capsaicin, and menthol. According to MTUS, in Chronic Pain Medical Treatment guidelines section Topical Analgesics (page 111), topical analgesics are largely experimental in use with few randomized controlled trials to determine efficacy or safety. Many agents are combined to other pain medications for pain control. There is limited research to support the use of many of these agents. Furthermore, according to MTUS guidelines, any compounded product that contains at least one drug or drug class that is not recommended. Terocin patch contains capsaicin a topical analgesic not recommended by MTUS. Furthermore, there is no documentation of failure or intolerance of first line oral medications for the treatment of pain. There is no documentation that the patient developed a neuropathic pain. Based on the above Terocin patches is not medically necessary.