

MAXIMUS FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.

Independent Medical Review
P.O. Box 138009
Sacramento, CA 95813-8009
(855) 865-8873 Fax: (916) 605-4270



Independent Medical Review Final Determination Letter

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Dated: 12/17/2013

Employee: [REDACTED]
Claim Number: [REDACTED]
Date of UR Decision: 8/6/2013
Date of Injury: 5/25/2012
IMR Application Received: 8/23/2013
MAXIMUS Case Number: CM13-0014751

DEAR [REDACTED],

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Medical Review (“IMR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IMR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: UPHOLD. This means we decided that none of the disputed items/services are medically necessary and appropriate. A detailed explanation of the decision for each of the disputed items/services is provided later in this letter.

The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its physician reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties.

In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 30 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4610.6(h).

Sincerely,

Paul Manchester, MD, MPH
Medical Director

cc: Department of Industrial Relations, [REDACTED]

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to a physician reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The physician reviewer is Board Certified in Orthopedic Surgery, and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The physician reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

The following relevant documents received from the interested parties and the documents provided with the application were reviewed and considered. These documents included:

- Application of Independent Medical Review
- Utilization Review Determination
- Medical Records from Claims Administrator
- Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule (MTUS)

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The physician reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

Patient status post industrial injury May 2012. Patient has performed home exercise program. 6/14/13 exam reports complaint of low back pain, left knee pain and left sided sciatica. Recommendation for one month TENS unit trial.

IMR DECISION(S) AND RATIONALE(S)

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

1. Purchase of a TENS unit is not medically necessary and appropriate.

The Claims Administrator based its decision on the Chronic Pain medical Treatment Guidelines, TENS, which is part of the MTUS.

The Physician Reviewer based his/her decision on the Chronic Pain medical Treatment Guidelines, TENS, pages 113-117, which is part of the MTUS.

The Physician Reviewer's decision rationale:

According to the California MTUS regarding TENS, chronic pain (transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation), "Not recommended as a primary treatment modality, but a one-month home-based TENS trial may be considered as a noninvasive conservative option, if used as an adjunct to a program of evidence-based functional restoration, for the conditions described below. Recommendations by types of pain: A home-based treatment trial of one month may be appropriate for neuropathic pain and CRPS II (conditions that have limited published evidence for the use of TENS as noted below), and for CRPS I (with basically no literature to support use). Criteria for the use of TENS: Chronic intractable pain (for the conditions noted above): Documentation of pain of at least three months duration. There is evidence that other appropriate pain modalities have been tried (including medication) and failed. A one-month trial

period of the TENS unit should be documented (as an adjunct to ongoing treatment modalities within a functional restoration approach) with documentation of how often the unit was used, as well as outcomes in terms of pain relief and function; rental would be preferred over purchase during this trial. Other ongoing pain treatment should also be documented during the trial period including medication usage. A treatment plan including the specific short- and long-term goals of treatment with the TENS unit should be submitted. A 2-lead unit is generally recommended; if a 4-lead unit is recommended, there must be documentation of why this is necessary.” In this case there is no evidence of success with one month trial of tens unit for diagnosis of chronic neuropathic pain. **The request for purchase of a TENS unit is not medically necessary and appropriate.**

Disclaimer: MAXIMUS is providing an independent review service under contract with the California Department of Industrial Relations. MAXIMUS is not engaged in the practice of law or medicine. Decisions about the use or nonuse of health care services and treatments are the sole responsibility of the patient and the patient’s physician. MAXIMUS is not liable for any consequences arising from these decisions.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]