

---

## Independent Medical Review Final Determination Letter

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Dated: 12/20/2013

Employee: [REDACTED]  
Claim Number: [REDACTED]  
Date of UR Decision: 7/18/2013  
Date of Injury: 5/5/2013  
IMR Application Received: 8/15/2013  
MAXIMUS Case Number: CM13-0011847

DEAR [REDACTED]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Medical Review (“IMR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IMR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: OVERTURN. This means we decided that all of the disputed items/services are medically necessary and appropriate. A detailed explanation of the decision for each of the disputed items/services is provided later in this letter.

The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its physician reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties.

In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 30 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4610.6(h).

Sincerely,

Paul Manchester, MD, MPH  
Medical Director

cc: Department of Industrial Relations, [REDACTED]

## HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to a physician reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The physician reviewer is Board Certified in General Surgery and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The physician reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

### DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

The following relevant documents received from the interested parties and the documents provided with the application were reviewed and considered. These documents included:

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The physician reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

45 year old woman who was lifting a tray and developed a severe pain in her right groin. Subsequently diagnosed with a reducible right inguinal hernia. Is it medically necessary to repair?

### IMR DECISION(S) AND RATIONALE(S)

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

#### **1. Right inguinal hernia repair is medically necessary and appropriate.**

The Claims Administrator based its decision on the Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), which is not part of the MTUS.

The Expert Reviewer found that no section of the MTUS was applicable. Per the Strength of Evidence hierarchy established by the California Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Workers' Compensation, the Expert Reviewer based his/her decision on Camerons Current Surgical Therapy, 10<sup>th</sup> edition, pgs. 491-494, 2011.

The Physician Reviewer's decision rationale:

This is a young, healthy employee with a symptomatic inguinal hernia. It will not resolve without surgical therapy and over time will enlarge and has the potential for obstruction. These herniae in woman are usually indirect, can repair easily with an open technique if unilateral, and allow division of the round ligament. **The request for right inguinal hernia repair is medically necessary and appropriate.**

/sb

Disclaimer: MAXIMUS is providing an independent review service under contract with the California Department of Industrial Relations. MAXIMUS is not engaged in the practice of law or medicine. Decisions about the use or nonuse of health care services and treatments are the sole responsibility of the patient and the patient's physician. MAXIMUS is not liable for any consequences arising from these decisions.

[REDACTED]

CM13-0011847