

**MAXIMUS FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.**

Independent Bill Review  
P.O. Box 138006  
Sacramento, CA 95813-8006  
Fax: (916) 605-4280



---

**INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION**

February 22, 2016

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

IBR Case Number:	CB16-0000123	Date of Injury:	08/30/2012
Claim Number:	[REDACTED]	Application Received:	01/26/2016
Assignment Date:	02/16/2016		
Claims Administrator:	[REDACTED]		
Date(s) of service:	05/29/2015 – 05/29/2015		
Provider Name:	[REDACTED]		
Employee Name:	[REDACTED]		
Disputed Codes:	29824		

Dear [REDACTED]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above Workers’ Compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

**Final Determination: OVERTURN. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is reversed and the Claim Administrator owes the Provider additional reimbursement of \$195.00 for the review cost and \$2,220.96 in additional reimbursement for a total of \$2,415.96. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.**

The Claim Administrator is required to reimburse the Provider a total of **\$2,415.96** within 45 days of the date on this letter per section 4603.2 (2a) of the California Labor Code. The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

Paul Manchester, M.D., M.P.H.  
Medical Director

Cc: [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

## **DOCUMENTS REVIEWED**

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- OMFS

## **HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE**

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

## ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Provider seeking remuneration for 29824 Arthroscopy, shoulder, surgical; distal claviclectomy including distal articular surface (mumford procedure) for date of service 05/29/2015.**
- The Claims Administrator denied charges indicating:
  - Initial EOR: “We cannot review without the necessary documentation...”
  - Final EOR: “Medical documentation does not support the services rendered”
- Provider Type, Ambulatory Surgery
- SBR indicates a dispute amount of **\$2,220.96**.
- Opportunity to Dispute communicated to Claims Administrator on 01/28/2016, response not yet received.
- Operative Report, page 2, the Provider indicates, “**distal 1cm clavicle was excised.**”
- Contractual Agreement not submitted for IBR; unknown if > 1cm removal of clavicle is required for reimbursement. As the size of the excised piece is not a CPT requirement, reimbursement is indicated for 29824 and is subject to Endoscopic MPPR reimbursement.
- **§ 9789.16.5 (d)** Determining Maximum Payment for Endoscopies (e) Multiple Procedures of Equal Value:
  - If two or more multiple surgeries are of equal value, rank them in descending dollar order billed and base payment on the percentages listed above (i.e., 100 percent for the first billed procedure, 50 percent for the second, etc.)
- EOR 07/09/2015 reflects \$4,442.31 reimbursed for Primary Arthroscopic Procedure.
- **Based on the aforementioned documentation and guidelines, reimbursement is indicated for 29824.**

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

**DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: 29824**

<b>Date of Service:</b> 05/29/2015 Physician Services						
<b>Service Code</b>	<b>Provider Billed</b>	<b>Plan Allowed</b>	<b>Dispute Amount</b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Workers' Comp Allowed Amt.</b>	<b>Notes</b>
29824	\$10,130.00	\$0.00	\$2,220.96	1	\$2,220.96	<b>Refer to Analysis</b>

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]